

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,443	09/08/2003	Satoru Kuramoto	TIC-0049	4736
23377	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			TYLER, CHERYL JACKSON	
ONE LIBERT	Y PLACE, 46TH FLOOR T STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HIA, PA 19103		3744	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,443	KURAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl J. Tyler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a red I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 S	September 2003.					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		oplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	·)			
application from the International Burea	•	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	eceived.				
Attach mart(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/8/06</u>; <u>9/8/03</u>. 	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application —·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites that the drive source includes a rotary member for rotation; and a rotary unit includes the rotary unit and a rotary shaft (claim 1, lines 4-5). The specification recites, however, that the output shaft 41 serves as a rotary member (page, 11, lines 5-6). As understood, the output shaft 41 is the rotary member and thus, is the only component of the rotary unit. The claims have been examined based upon this assumption.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsubishi Electric Corp (JP 59-103990). Mistusbishi teaches a housing 5, 12; an electric motor 1; a rotary shaft 15; an engaging portion 15b in the rotary shaft (see

Application/Control Number: 10/658,443

Art Unit: 3744

Figures 2 and 3) for mating with a manual rotating tool (see title); a pumping mechanism 16 placed in the housing, the pumping mechanism being operated in accordance with the rotation of the rotary shaft; an allowing means 8 formed in the housing for allowing the maintenance tool to engage with the engaging portion, the rotary shaft being rotated by rotating the maintenance tool in a state that the maintenance tool is engaged with the engaged portion.

With regards to claims 14-15, a recitation with respect to the material intended to be worked upon by a claimed apparatus does not impose any structural limitations upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from a prior art apparatus satisfying the structural limitations of the claims, as is the case here.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi Electric Corp (mentioned previously). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Mitsubishi reference by using a hexagon socket and a

Art Unit: 3744

hexagon wrench, since applicant has not disclosed that having this particular configuration solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the pan (Phillips) socket and wrench would perform equally well to engage the rotary shaft.

7. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi (mentioned previously) in view of Eisert (6,474,959). Mitsubishi teaches most of the limitations of the claims. However, they do not explicitly teach a shaft seal device in the power transmission path. Eisert teaches a lip seal 16 "to prevent pumped liquid from penetrating into the rotor chamber of the split cage1" (column 4, line 67 - column 5, line 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a seal between the pump and motor chambers, as taught by Eisert, in the Mitsubishi fluid pump in order to advantageously prevent contaminants from entering the pump chamber and degrading the pump's performance.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Wetzel (5,620,311) teaches a piston pump with a detachable motor.

• Harper et al. (6,361,293) teach a horizontal pump with a tool engaging portion.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl J. Tyler whose telephone number is 571-272-4834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cneryl **a**. Tyler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744